

**RAPC 556/25**

**NEW FOREST NATIONAL PARK AUTHORITY**

**RESOURCES, AUDIT AND PERFORMANCE COMMITTEE MEETING – 3 MARCH 2025**

**DRAFT GENERAL FUND REVENUE BUDGET FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR 2025/26,  
TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY AND MEDIUM-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN**

**Report by:** Nigel Stone, Head of Resources (Chief Finance Officer) and Tom Knott, Finance and Sustainable Performance Officer

**Summary:**

This paper sets out draft budget proposals for the financial year 2025/26 for consideration by the Committee and ultimately the full Authority. The report also provides a medium-term projection of the Authority's financial position up to March 2029 and a forward projection beyond this, all based upon the financial strategy outlined within the report.

**Recommendations, to:**

- 1 Support the General Fund Budget for 2025/26 and recommend it for approval at the Authority meeting on 27 March 2025**
- 2 Note that the underlying minimum level for the General Fund Reserve remains at £0.35 million**
- 3 Note the implications on the Reserves of the proposed budget for 2025/26**
- 4 Note the Risk Assessment and Section 25 Statement (Section 7)**
- 5 Support the Treasury Management Strategy in Annex 3 and recommend it for approval at the Authority meeting on 27 March 2025**
- 6 Note the Medium-Term Financial Plan up to 2028/29 and the Forward Projection in Annex 4**

## **Resources:**

As set out in the report.

## **Equality and Diversity Implications:**

There are no equality or diversity implications arising directly from this report.

## **Papers:**

<b>RAPC 556/25:</b>	Cover Paper
<b>RAPC 556/25 Annex 1:</b>	Programme Fund Detail 2025/26
<b>RAPC 556/25 Annex 2:</b>	Position of Reserves
<b>RAPC 556/25 Annex 3:</b>	Treasury Management Strategy up to 2027/28
<b>RAPC 556/25 Annex 4:</b>	Medium Term Financial Plan 2025-29 and Forward Projection

## **Contact:**

Nigel Stone, Head of Resources (CFO)

Tel: 01590 646655

Email: [nigel.stone@newforestnpa.gov.uk](mailto:nigel.stone@newforestnpa.gov.uk)

## **1 Purpose**

- 1.1 This paper sets out the draft budget proposals for the financial year 2025/26 for consideration by the Committee and ultimately the full Authority. The report also provides details of the Treasury Management Strategy and a medium-term projection of the Authority's financial position up to March 2029 and beyond, all based upon the financial strategy outlined within the report.

## **2 Budget Planning Process**

- 2.1 The Chief Executive, Chief Finance Officer and Officers have drafted this budget within the overall Partnership Plan and our own Business Plan and thematic workplans for the 2025/26 financial year. The budget resourcing process has been overseen by a members 'Finance and Facilities Task & Finish Group' as established by the Resources, Audit and Performance Committee. The T&F Group have looked in depth at our financial position, including particular focus around the defra grant, income generation, treasury investment income and our levels of reserves. The Group will continue its work up until the Autumn.
- 2.2 Since 2018/19, our core defra grant has been "frozen" at £3,251,501 each year, therefore equating to significant reductions each year in real terms. The 2025/26 grant is expected to be our sixth year of a frozen grant - had our 2010 grant settlement increased in line with CPI inflation it would currently be c£6.7m per annum, equating to a loss of over 50% in real terms.
- 2.3 No formal notification has been received to date from defra confirming our 2025/26 grant settlement, we therefore have no option but to assume a flat-cash settlement without the capital and revenue uplifts provided in 2024/25. Given the clear emphasis placed by defra and the National Audit Office on governance, and in particular financial governance, it is very disappointing to find ourselves in this position again – the application of standard good practice would provide us with a proposed settlement around November/December time, with final confirmation coming in January. Defra have also muted the idea of splitting the core grant into separate revenue and capital elements and this Authority, alongside the other English NPAs, have clearly stated our very low capital spend and that this element would need to be additional to any revenue and could not be a replacement. It should be noted that defra have actively discussed levels of reserves with a number of other English NPAs, but did not raise this issue with us.
- 2.4 The Authority is actively working, individually and alongside the other English NPAs, to feed our data into defra's part of the ongoing government Comprehensive Spending Review. The results of that review are expected around June/July 2025.
- 2.5 Our Medium-Term Financial Plan has been drafted to cover four future financial years however, due to the further single-year confirmation settlements, that entire period is now beyond the certainty of any future Defra settlements or funding indications. To counter some of this uncertainty, we have created a 'forward projection' which indicates at a higher level where our budgets are likely to range in the longer term (up to 10 years).


### 3 General Fund Budget for 2025/26

- 3.1 The proposed General Fund Budget for 2025/26 is based upon the following expenditure and income assumptions. The Programme Fund budget is set out in **Annex 1**, Reserves in **Annex 2** and the Medium-Term Financial Plan & Forward Projection in **Annex 4**.
- 3.2 Alongside the ‘internally’ funded budgets set out within this paper (funded by the Defra grant and any fee/income generation), the Authority is continuing to successfully attract significant externally-funded projects; historically for every £1 the Authority puts into its partnership projects, a further £18 is generated from partner organisations to be spent in the New Forest.
- 3.3 The table below outlines some of the key projects for the forthcoming year which will likely be spent alongside our ‘internal’ budgets:

Projects Confirmed	Funder(s)	Approx Value
Higher Level Stewardship	Verderers / FC	£90,000
YouCAN (3 years)	Lottery Community Fund	£1,200,000
Land Advice Service	Various	£70,000
Paediatric Fellowship Programme	Health Education England	£36,000
Farming in Protected Landscapes (capital scheme for local farmers and landowners)	Defra	TBC
Landscape Enhancement Initiative (2 years)	National Grid	£200,000
Pedall (5 years)	Lottery Community Fund	£375,000
Species Survival Fund (2 years)	Defra	£1,040,00
New Routes to Nature – Volunteers (2 years)	Lottery Heritage Fund	£250,000

Including the amounts above, the total expenditure by the Authority in 2025/26 will likely be closer to £5-5.5m.

- 3.4 The Authority has also requested an £8m one-off capital grant from defra in 2025/26 towards our future facilities requirements, we are currently awaiting a response.
- 3.5 The pie chart below displays how the draft ‘internal’ 2025/26 Budget (c£4.2m) would spread our staffing resources with the Partnership / Business Plan themes:



3.6 The table below displays the draft 2025/26 Budget in line with the formal year-end (defra) reporting format.

Defra Service Heading	Budgeted Net Cost of Services 2024/25 £000s	Indicative Net Cost of Services 2025/26 £000s
Conservation of the Natural Environment	405	355
Conservation of Cultural Heritage	278	233
Recreation Management and Transport	366	262
Promoting Understanding	562	447
Rangers, Estates and Volunteers	212	186
Development Control	1,086	1,149
Forward Planning and Communities	502	481
Corporate and Democratic Core	473	306
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>3,884</b>	<b>3,419</b>
National Park Grant	(3,751)	(3,251)
Investment and Interest Income	(160)	(140)
Reserve Movements	27	(28)
<b>FUNDING SHORTFALL / (SURPLUS)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

### 3.7 Income Analysis

3.7.1 The following table shows the estimated income for the year; with comparisons to 2024/25 (any significant variances are then explained in the text below):

	2024/25 Latest Budget £000's	2025/26 Base Budget £000's	Change 2024/25 to 2025/26 £000's	Change 2024/25 to 2025/26 %s
<b>Income:</b>				
Defra Grants (Core and Access for All)	3,845	3,251	-594	-15%
Planning Fees & Grants	350	600	+250	+71%
Shared Service / Staffing Contributions	234	208	-26	-11%
Income Generation	35	40	+5	+14%
Investment and Interest	160	140	-20	+13%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4,624</b>	<b>4,239</b>	<b>-385</b>	<b>-8%</b>
Reserve Movements (see section 4)	-27	28	+55	+204%
<b>TOTAL INCOME</b>	<b>4,597</b>	<b>4,267</b>	<b>-330</b>	<b>-7%</b>

3.7.2 The core Defra National Park grant for 2025/26 has yet to be confirmed, we have therefore budgeted for another flat-cash settlement at £3,251,501 and this will be followed up with a formal settlement letter and agreement during March. With inflation currently at around 3%, this will equate to a real-terms cut of around £100,000 to our deliverables this year and the grant has halved in real-terms since austerity began in 2010. We have not budgeted for the additional £250,000 capital and £250,000 revenue grant uplifts received in 2024/25 or the £94,000 Access for All grant.

3.7.3 Core planning fees have dropped slightly over recent years, and the income budget was due to therefore be reduced down to £320-330,000 – however the government has announced a doubling of fees from 1 April 2025, bringing the income expected up to £600,000 for 2025/26. These additional funds will now cover more of the costs of running our National Park planning service (but still not all) and allow some further investment in the team.

3.7.4 In 2025/26 the Authority will continue to provide a significant number of professional services for other organisations covering areas such as Rangers, Communications and Archaeology. It will also receive other financial contributions towards staffing roles for areas such as the New Forest Tour, the England Coast Path, seasonal rangers and co-ordinating volunteers.

3.7.5 General income generation, largely from the Bransgore affordable homes, guided walks & talks and some event sponsorship, is expected to be around £40,000 in 2025/26.

3.7.6 Interest on cash flow returns is expected to be around £140,000 in 2025/26, based on an average return across the year of 4%. The budgeted interest income is explained further in the Treasury Management Strategy which is attached as **Annex 3** to this report.

3.7.7 Proposed use of reserves in 2025/26 (totalling a net £28,000) is set out in section 4 of this report and Annex 2.

### 3.8 Expenditure Analysis

3.8.1 The following table shows the estimated expenditure for the year; with comparisons to 2024/25 (any significant variances are then explained in the text below):

<b>Expenditure:</b>	<b>2024/25 Latest Budget £000's</b>	<b>2025/26 Base Budget £000's</b>	<b>Change 2024/25 to 2025/26 £000's</b>	<b>Change 2024/25 to 2025/26 %s</b>
Employee Costs (Salaries inc NI & Pension, travel & subs)	3,050	3,146	+96	+3%
Sustainable Communities Fund	30	30	-	-
Programme Fund	210	180	-30	-14%
Strategy & Planning (inc Appeals)	120	95	-25	-21%
Member Services	68	69	+1	+1%
Secretariat	46	47	+1	+2%
Human Resources	82	75	-7	-9%
ICT Services	200	200	-	-
Accommodation	235	235	-	-
Central Costs (Overheads & SLA's)	212	190	-22	-10%
Capital Budgets	344	0	-344	-100%
<b>TOTAL EXPENDITURE</b>	<b>4,597</b>	<b>4,267</b>	<b>-330</b>	<b>-7%</b>

3.8.2 Projected employee costs for 2025/26 show a net increase of £96,000 in comparison to 2024/25. The projected 3% pay award for 2025/26 equates to an additional £75,000, with a similar amount costed in for the National Insurance increases and scale point rises (for approximately a third of employees) will add a further £20,000. As previously, the salary budget provides for a 2% 'expected' vacancy saving within the year.

3.8.3 Programme Fund budgets are shown in more detail in **Annex 1**. The overall position shows a fall in budgeted expenditure from 2024/25 due to the one-off revenue grant increase from defra in that year.

3.8.4 An additional £25,000 was budgeted within the Strategy & Planning budget in 2024/25, to fund the costs of the Vernon Dene legal action.

3.8.5 Central costs have reduced in 2025/26 due to the use of an additional defra capital grant in 2024/25 which brought forward some capital replacements (vehicles and ICT) previously set for 2025/26.

## 4. Reserves

- 4.1 **Annex 2** shows the complete reserves position, identifying the use of reserves in line with the proposed budget for 2025/26. In summary, the movements in reserves are:

### General Fund Reserve

- A minimum reserve of £350,000 will be maintained in the General Fund Reserve – this was recently reviewed by the Chief Finance Officer and is still considered appropriate (equating to approximately 11% of our National Park Grant).

### Capital / Major Projects Reserve

- The Authority has in place reserves to allow funding of capital and/or major projects, with particular regard to invest-to-save schemes. The fund currently has around £500,000 set aside for such purposes. Members will be requested to consider any proposals for the use of this fund as they arise.

### Financial Stability Reserve

- In 2022/23 Defra awarded us a 'one-off' £440,000 to aid our financial stability. Due to the strong financial management of our Authority, we have been able to spread this funding over the next ten years to counter the unwanted, delivery-eroding impacts of the flat-cash grant settlements.

### Earmarked Reserves

- It is likely that a net £24,000 will be utilised from the various smaller earmarked project reserves in 2025/26. These are netted off by small additional contributions to the Planning / Risk Reserve (increasing its balance to £165,000) and Housing Reserve (increasing its balance to £130,000).
- Developers Contributions – The Authority still holds a significant balance of Developer Contributions (c£1.9m) which are ringfenced for specific uses, the majority being those for affordable housing and ecological mitigation. The balances will be utilised as and when required during the year, for example regarding further affordable housing developments (c£500,000 likely in 2025/26 for the Burley affordable homes scheme).

## 5. Risks and Uncertainties

- 5.1 In setting the budget a number of potential risks / uncertainties are identified, the list for 2025/26 includes:

- **National Park Grant** – Defra has not yet confirmed its flat-cash grant for 2025/26 at £3.251m; there also remains an additional risk of possible prior-year or in-year change. The Authority will need to continue to clearly and concisely make its case for increased Defra funding – had our 2010 grant settlement increased in line with CPI inflation it would currently be c£6.7m per annum, equating to a loss of over 50% in real terms.



- **Planning Fee Income and Applications** – Although the core fee target has been aligned to recent activity and the promised government national fee uplift for 2025/26, economic conditions are such that risks over certainty of this income remain. Secondly, an increase in overall application numbers, or one or two major applications, could require additional resources within the planning team.
- **Inflation** – Allowance has been made for inflation, currently still at a significant level, within the core budgets where we are aware it unavoidably applies e.g. statutory or contractually (business rates, service charges etc). The other discretionary budgets (largely within the Programme Fund) were agreed from scratch following officer requests.
- **Salaries** - The budget includes provision for a 3% per employee pay award for 2025/26, to reflect the current cost of living / inflation impacts within the wider economy. Every additional 1% award would cost the Authority approximately £22,000. It has also been adjusted for the National Insurance increases from 1 April and to allow for a proportion of normal vacancy savings (-2% of the total salary budget).
- **Legal Costs** - £5,000 has been budgeted for planning appeals; however, the actual figure could be significantly higher or lower dependent on circumstances (many of which are beyond our control). Further provision is made with the Planning / Risk Reserve.
- **Listed Building Urgent Works** – No budgetary provision has been made for urgent works to listed buildings and therefore any required works would have to be initially funded from reserves in anticipation of then being claimed back from the owner.
- **Externally-Funded Projects** – This budget paper sets out how the Authority will spend its own direct funding during 2025/26. In addition, there are likely to be a number of externally-funded schemes throughout the year which are project managed by the Authority (e.g. NFLAS, HLS, Pedall, YouCAN, Farming in Protected Landscapes etc). These projects will be identified and monitored, as previously, within the Authority's normal monitoring and reporting structure.
- **Opportunities / Investments / New Costs** – As budgets are becoming tighter year-on-year there will be less likelihood of any unforeseen opportunities or costs being funded from savings elsewhere within the annual budget. For the year ahead, these could include items around the Climate & Nature Emergency, the government responses to Glover, the Comprehensive Spending Review, those brought out through the Recreation Management Strategy work, Green Halo or ways we can leverage in further funding to increase awareness of the National Park 'brand' and the visitor experience. Therefore, a higher probability of supplementary budget requests now exists, and these would have to be funded either from identified savings, in future year's budgets or more immediately from general/investment reserves.

All these factors will be explicitly monitored and reported upon in the budgetary control reports for 2025/26.

## **6. Spending plans**

- 6.1 The approach to delivering a “balanced” budget will enable the Authority to develop its annual Work Programme positively and builds further on the noteworthy savings and efficiencies made over the past few years whilst continuing to deliver for the Forest.
- 6.2 The budget for 2025/26 has been primarily based on the Partnership (Management) Plan requirements and the Authority’s own Business Plan for the coming three financial years.
- 6.3 Performance monitoring will continue be undertaken throughout the year to keep Members apprised of progress against plans. The effect of strong budgeting and increased financial monitoring procedures over recent years has shown in reduced variances; for example, in recent years the Authority’s outturn has been well within 2% of the original budget and 1% of any revised budget. The 2024/25 financial year is currently on track to produce a balanced budget.

## **7 Robustness of the Budget and Risk Assessment**

- 7.1 Section 25 of the Local Government Act 2003 imposes a duty on the Chief Finance Officer to report to the budget setting Authority on the following issues:
- 7.2 **Robustness of the estimates:**
  - 7.2.1 The preparation of the budget for 2025/26 has been considered over several months, by officers and members, in view of two significant factors: the anticipated frozen government grant and ensuring that the resources are used to deliver the ongoing priorities from the Partnership Plan and our Business Plan.
  - 7.2.2 Key elements of the budget which have been considered here are the provisions that are made for inflation on pay and prices, the consideration of potential bad-debt and the stability of the various income streams. The major consideration and frustration here has undoubtedly been the Defra grant determination and the extremely late notification process.
  - 7.2.3 In the light of all known factors, historic evidence/performance and the level of balances held by the Authority, it is not considered that there is a need to hold a further specific contingency within the budget itself.
  - 7.2.4 The planning for, and determination to achieve, the challenging targets within the budget mean that the budget for 2025/26 can be considered fully robust.

## 7.3 Adequacy of proposed General Fund Reserve

- 7.3.1 The Chief Finance Officer is required to make a recommendation as to the adequacy of the level of reserves held by the Authority.
- 7.3.2 The General Fund Reserve is used to cover unforeseen items of expenditure that cannot be funded within the base budget in any particular year and as a general contingency against unforeseen events in future years. Following a recent review, the Authority increased the minimum General Fund Reserve level to £350,000 and this is still considered adequate at this time. This will of course be kept under review and particularly in regard to any further information in terms of a new Comprehensive Spending Review.
- 7.3.3 Further reserves have been set aside for Planning Appeal / Misc Risks (£165,000), Financial Stability (c£308,000) and for investment in Capital / Major Projects (c£500,000).
- 7.3.4 In the light of these factors, the level of financial reserves is considered fully adequate.

## 8. Medium Term Financial Plan and Forward Projection

- 8.1 The Authority's Medium Term Financial Plan, attached as **Annex 4**, supports the Partnership (Management) Plan, and our Business Plan actions, by ensuring resources are made available to support priorities over the period of those plans. Members of the Resources Task & Finish Group (officers and members) set a clear direction that we should always ensure that, as a minimum, the next two financial years are balanced and that two further years beyond that were within a 'reasonable' deficit. In fact, the next three financial years are currently balanced.
- 8.2 Members should note from the projected figures that a further £146,000 (equating to c3.5% of total income/expenditure) needs to be found for the 2028/29 budgets to balance with adequate provision for the Programme Fund (noting this shortfall is already significantly lower than was projected last year). This would increase significantly if future National Park Grant settlements are reduced. The primary source of closing any funding shortfall will be additional income generation, either internally through the Fundraising Group or externally through the work of National Parks Partnerships Ltd. Should this level of funding not be available, then the Authority would have to look to cut costs at that time. This position will be kept under close review by the Finance and Facilities Task & Finish Group.
- 8.3 The high-level Forward Projection highlights the sustainable 'standstill' position of approximately £3.7m total income required to cover £2.75m of staffing costs, £0.75m core costs and a £0.20m Programme Fund (all figures given in 2025 equivalents).

## Annex 1

### **DRAFT PROGRAMME FUND 2025/26**

	<b>Budget (£'s)</b>
<b>First Purpose</b>	
Landscape Projects	2,000
Archaeological Projects and SLA's	8,000
Ecology & Catchment Co-ordination	12,000
Natural Environment Evidence Base	15,000
Solent Forum	2,500
Green Halo Partnership	3,000
	<u>42,500</u>
<b>Second Purpose</b>	
Access Improvements	7,000
Education (Travel Grants and Resources)	9,500
Boundary Marker Maintenance	3,000
Recreation Management	5,000
Interpretation & Information	8,000
Health and Wellbeing	5,000
New Forest Show	6,000
Media & Promotion <sup>1</sup>	23,000
Publications & Advertising <sup>2</sup>	32,000
Contact Management System	5,000
Ranger Projects	5,000
NFDC Ranger Projects	4,000
Volunteer and Ambassadors	3,000
	<u>115,500</u>
<b>Duty</b>	
New Forest Marque <sup>3</sup>	4,000
Sustainability Projects	3,000
Sustainable Tourism	4,500
Sustainable Transport	8,000
New Forest Business Partnership Events	2,500
	<u>22,000</u>
<b>Total Expenditure</b>	<u><u><b>180,000</b></u></u>

<sup>1</sup> Includes media monitoring/licences, NPA media library, website, photography, film, social media promotion and external graphic design work.

<sup>2</sup> Includes our Annual Review, Park Life x 2, Pocket Guide, Essential Guide and various leaflets/flyers.

<sup>3</sup> Following discussions with the Marque, our support is now split between a cash grant and a part-time Marque 'Events / Social Media' post hosted by the Authority.

**Annex 2**

**POSITION OF EARMARKED RESERVES**

Reserve	Reason for holding	Estimated as at 31/3/25 £000s	Projected use in 2025/26 £000s	Estimated as at 31/3/26 £000s
General Fund	Minimum reserve to cover unexpected events or emergencies.	350	0	350
Capital / Major Projects	Earned income for large invest-to-save projects and to provide short-term funding for major projects (later returned to reserve).	500	0	500
Financial Stability Reserve	One-off funding from defra to stabilise budget variations – planned to be utilised over 10 years from 2023/24.	352	-44	308
Planning/Legal Risk	To cover potential costs of legal cases against the Authority, particularly within the planning functions.	145	20	165
Housing	Funding from the Bransgore affordable homes for future resultant costs and/or other housing schemes.	110	20	130
Other	Smaller amounts often earmarked for specific projects/functions e.g. NFLAS, Planning, NF Tour, Pedall, vehicles, WWII.	747	-24	723

## Annex 3

### **TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY TO 2027/28**

#### **1 Introduction**

- 1.1 CIPFA's Treasury Management Code of Practice and the Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities requires the Authority to produce a Treasury Management Strategy which explains the Authority's borrowing and investment activities and the effective management of associated risks. There are three key legislative requirements that apply to this Authority:
- Treasury Management Strategy Statement (see appendix 1a)
  - Investment Strategy (see appendix 1a)
  - Prudential Indicators (see appendix 1b).
- 1.2 The Treasury Management Policy requires an annual strategy to be approved outlining the expected treasury activity for the forthcoming three years prior to each financial year. A report is produced after each year-end to report on actual activity for the year. A further interim monitoring report is produced during the year and taken to Resources, Audit and Performance Committee during Autumn.
- 1.3 A key requirement of this strategy report is to explain both the risks, and the management of the risks, associated with the treasury service.
- 1.4 There are specific treasury prudential indicators included in this strategy that need approval.

#### **2. Treasury Management Practice – credit and counterparty risk**

- 2.1 MHCLG and CIPFA have each issued recent guidance on treasury investments which has been followed.
- 2.2 The key intention of the guidance, codes of practice and the Prudential Code is to maintain the current requirement for authorities to invest prudently and that priority is given to security and liquidity before yield. This is particularly true for organisations of our small size, who are actively encouraged to reduce risk to a minimum within their investment portfolios.
- 2.3 The Authority has adopted this code of practice and will apply its principles to all investment activity.

#### **3. Investment Policy**

- 3.1 The investment policy summarises the main aims and objectives of the investment function within the treasury management service as follows:
- The first priority governing the Authority's investment criteria is security
  - The second priority is liquidity
  - The third priority is yield.

3.2 To adopt these main principles, the Authority will ensure that:

- It maintains a policy covering both the categories of investment types it will invest in, criteria for choosing investment counterparties with adequate security and monitoring their security. This is set out in the Specified and Non-Specified investment sections at Appendix 1a, paragraphs 4.6 to 4.13.
- It has sufficient liquidity in its investments. For this purpose, it will set out the maximum periods for which funds may prudently be invested. See Appendix 1a, paragraphs 4.10 to 4.11.
- It will seek to 'ladder' its investments i.e. have investments with staggered lengths and maturity dates.

3.3 Strategy consideration for 2025/26

3.3.1 Members will be aware that for the last ten years, the Authority has had a Treasury Strategy which favoured a 'low risk / low reward' approach, this being deemed most appropriate given the small size of the treasury function, that the costs of attracting larger amounts of interest was prohibitive and the requirement for funds to be available to cash-flow projects which claim in arrears.

3.3.2 In January 2018 the rules surrounding the types of investments made by smaller authorities were tightened further by the government, reclassifying authorities like ours as 'retail investors' as opposed to 'professional investors'.

3.3.3 The overall treasury position has again been reviewed by the Chief Finance Officer and the Finance & Facilities Task & Finish Group, including discussions with other National Parks, a treasury consultant and other nearby local authorities, and it is recommended that the low risk / low reward (and low cost) approach again be taken for 2025/26. Over the last year there have been strong interest rates available for our size of investments (£0.5-2.5m, with between 4-5% returns), however the administrative costs of attracting any higher rate(s) are still considered prohibitive (an exercise was completed to benchmark our returns against those of other authorities who invest wider / at a higher level than us).

3.3.4 The portfolio value is estimated to be between £2.0m and £5.0m for the year ahead. This will largely be held in four main low-risk accounts:

- Lloyds Current Account (max £2.5m)
- Lloyds Savings Account (max £2m)
- Nationwide Savings Account (max £2m)
- Aberdeen Standard Money Market Fund (max £0.5m)
- UK Govt Debt Management Account Deposit Facility (max £2.5m).

## Annex 3 Appendix 1a

### Treasury Management Strategy

#### 1 Introduction

This strategy covers:

- Investment projections
- The expected movement in interest rates
- The investment strategy (in compliance with the guidance)

#### 2 Investment Projections

- 2.1 The Authority's treasury position is highlighted in the following table. This shows estimated levels of temporary investments.

	2025 Estimate £000	2026 Estimate £000	2027 Estimate £000	2028 Estimate £000
Investments at 31 March	2,500	2,500	2,400	2,300
Expected Change in Investments	-	-	-100	-100

#### 3. The Expected Movement in Interest Rates

- 3.1 Forecast medium term interest rates are as follows:

Medium-Term Rate Forecasts – Annual Averages %

Year	Base Rate %	3 Month Rate %	12 Month Rate %
2024/25	4.75	4.50	4.75
2025/26	3.75	3.50	3.75
2026/27	3.00	2.75	3.00
2027/28	2.50	2.25	2.50

#### 4. Investment Strategy to 2027/28

##### 4.1 Key Objectives

The key intention of the investment guidance is to maintain the current requirement to invest prudently, and that priority is given to security and liquidity before yield.

##### 4.2 Risk Benchmarking

A requirement of the Code is the consideration and approval of security and liquidity benchmarks.

Yield benchmarks are factual and used widely to assess investment performance. Security and liquidity benchmarks are subjective in nature. See Appendix 1c.



#### 4.2.1 Yield

Local measures of yield benchmarks are:

- Investments:
  - a. SONIA (Sterling Overnight Index Average), or
  - b. returns above the 7-day LIBID rate\*

\*calculated as LIBOR less 0.125

#### 4.2.2 Liquidity

In respect of this area the Authority seeks to maintain:

- Bank overdraft - £100,000.

#### 4.3 Investment Counterparty Selection Criteria (Security)

The primary principle governing the Authority's investment criteria is the security of its investments, although liquidity and yield or return on the investment are also key considerations. After this main principle the Authority will ensure that:

- It maintains a policy covering the categories of investment types it will invest in, criteria for choosing investment counterparties with adequate security, and the monitoring of their security. This is set out in the Specified and Non-Specified investment sections below.
- It has sufficient liquidity in its investments. For this purpose, it will set out procedures for determining the maximum periods for which funds may prudently be committed.

#### 4.4 Credit Ratings

The Authority uses online reports from credit rating agencies to determine which counterparties to use.

Information considered includes:

- Information in the financial press
- Share prices
- Credit watches and credit outlooks from credit rating agencies
- Credit Default Swap spreads to give early warning of likely changes in credit ratings
- Sovereign ratings to select counterparties from only the most creditworthy countries.

When an investment is proposed, credit rating information is researched for all potential counterparties that comply with the criteria below. All ratings information is considered before making an investment.

#### 4.5 The Authority will invest in accordance with paragraphs 4.6 to 4.13 below.

This strategy may be reviewed at any time.

### Specified Investments

- 4.6 These investments are made in sterling and have durations of one year or less.
- 4.7 These are low risk investments where the possibility of loss of principal or investment income is minimal. Specified investments include:
- UK Government - (to include the Debt Management Office)
  - Local Authorities - (to include County, Unitary, District, Parish, Police, Fire and Rescue etc.)
  - Banks and Building Societies – The Authority will only use UK based Banks and Building Societies and these will generally be:
    - Lloyds TSB Bank
    - Barclays Bank
    - National Westminster Bank
    - HSBC
    - Nationwide Building Society
    - Royal Bank of Scotland Group

They should have the short-term credit ratings in the table below (any exceptions to be agreed directly by the Chief Finance Officer):

Credit Rating Agency	Short – Term Credit Rating
Fitch	F-1
Moody's	P-1
Standard & Poors	A-1

- Money Market Funds (MMF) – which will be AAA rated by a credit rating agency. AAA rating means that the chances of default are considered minimal, however the holding limit for this type of account is lower (see 4.11).

The MMF is a pool of cash managed by an independent fund management company. Investors purchase units of the fund which are held on their behalf in a custody account. The Authority has instant access to all cash held in the MMF.

### Non-Specified Investments

- 4.8 Non – Specified investments are any other type of permissible investment not defined as specified above and include:
- UK Government Gilts with a maturity of greater than one year.
  - Local Authorities, etc. with a maturity of greater than one year.
  - Banks and Building Societies. The Authority will only use UK based Banks and Building Societies and these will generally be: –
    - Lloyds Bank
    - Barclays Bank

- National Westminster Bank
- HSBC
- Nationwide Building Society
- Royal Bank of Scotland

with a maturity of more than one year which will have, as a minimum (any exceptions to be agreed directly by the Chief Finance Officer), the following Fitch credit ratings, and equivalent Moody's and Standard and Poors credit ratings:

Long-Term Credit Rating	Short-Term Credit Rating	Support	Maximum Period of Investment
A (+/-)	F-1	3	Up to 2 years

- 4.9 The longer-term investments will also need to fit in with the principles of the treasury prudential indicator for total sums invested for periods longer than 364 days as shown at 4.21 below.
- 4.10 Authority's own bank (currently Lloyds):
- 4.10.1 The maximum limit available to be held by the Authority at its own bank is £4.5m, of which a maximum of £2m can be invested without instant access.
- 4.10.2 If the Authority's own bank falls below the above criteria the bank will still be used for normal banking transactions. If this happens balances held on account will be kept to a minimum working amount.
- 4.11 The Authority will endeavour to maximise the spread of investments so that ideally no more than 40% of the current total sum invested is deposited with any one organisation at the time of investment. However, the maximum investment may be £1.5m with any eligible counterparty or maximum 'holdings' (i.e. instant access funds) which will be set at £2.0m per counterparty and £2.5m for UK Govt DMO; Money Market Funds will be specifically limited to £0.5m.
- 4.12 The use of longer-term investments will be within the non-specified investment category. These investments will only be used according to the parameters shown at 4.21 below and will be limited by the core funds available depending on the Authority's need for liquidity.
- 4.13 Use of additional information other than credit ratings
- The Code of Practice requires the Authority to supplement credit rating information. Market information (for example Credit Default Swaps, equity prices, and negative rating watches/outlooks) will be applied to compare the relative security of investment counterparties.

## **Exposure to Investment Risk**

### **The Monitoring of Investment Counterparties**

- 4.14 The credit rating of counterparties will be monitored regularly, as a policy at least monthly. Any counterparty failing to meet the criteria will be removed from the list and, if required, new counterparties which meet the criteria will be added to the list. Due care will be taken to consider the country, group and sector exposure of the Authority's investments.

### **Treasury Management Prudential Indicators and Limits on Activity.**

- 4.15 The purpose of treasury prudential indicators is to contain the activity of the treasury function within certain limits, thereby managing risk and reducing the impact of an adverse movement in interest rates.
- 4.16 No indicators for borrowing have been set as no borrowing is currently planned to be undertaken unless it is temporary borrowing for cash flow purposes.
- 4.17 The limits for investment are:
- Maximum principal funds invested –  
These limits are set to help identify the total sums available for investment over the year.
  - Total principal funds invested for more than 364 days –  
These limits are based on the availability of investments after each year-end. This is the amount of funds that are not needed for revenue or capital purposes over the short term and could be invested for longer periods if advantageous to and approved by the Authority.
  - Fixed and variable interest rate investments –  
Limits are included for fixed and variable interest rate investments to contain the volatility of the investments.
  - Maturity structure of investments –  
These limits are set to indicate the maturity structure of investments and to ensure that authorities invest in a cautious manner.

- 4.18 These limits are shown in the following table: -

Investments	2024/25 Upper		2025/26 Upper		2026/27 Upper	
Estimated Maximum sums invested	£5m		£5m		£5m	
Estimated Maximum sums that could be invested for more than 364 days	£1.5m		£1.5m		£1.5m	
Limits - fixed interest rates	100%		100%		100%	
Limits - variable interest rates	100%		100%		100%	
Maturity Structure of investments						
	Lower	Upper	Lower	Upper	Lower	Upper
Under 12 months	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%
12 months to 2 years	£0m	£1.5m	£0m	£1.5m	£0m	£1.5m

### Sensitivity to Interest Rate Movements

- 4.19 The Authority is required to disclose the impact of risks on the treasury management activity. Whilst most of the risks facing the treasury management service are addressed elsewhere in this report the impact of interest rate risk is not quantified.

The table below highlights the estimated impact of a 0.5% increase/decrease in all interest rates to treasury management costs/income for next year:

Revenue Budgets	2025/26 Estimated @ 4.00% £000	2025/26 Estimated + 0.5% £000	2025/26 Estimated -0.5% £000
Investment income	140	157	122

### Use of Money Brokers

- 4.20 The Authority can use money brokers to place investments with counterparties. The broker has access to the money markets and will be able to determine the best rate of investment for the Authority given the counterparties that the Authority can invest with, and type of investment required.
- 4.21 Several highly rated organisations now conduct their own treasury transactions and no longer use money brokers. The Authority may place investments by dealing directly with these counterparties.

## Annex 3 Appendix 1b

### Prudential Indicators 2025/26 to 2027/28

#### 1 Introduction

- 1.1 Under the Prudential Code the Authority must adopt and monitor a range of indicators.

These indicators are to cover a three-year period from the current financial year.

The New Forest National Park Authority is not expected to borrow to finance capital expenditure. Therefore, all indicators below apply only to temporary borrowing for cash flow purposes and temporary investments.

#### 2 Capital Expenditure

##### Capital Expenditure

- 2.1 The following table shows the current forecast for capital expenditure for current and future years.

Capital Expenditure	2024/25 Current Forecast £000	2025/26 Current Estimate £000	2026/27 Current Estimate £000	2027/28 Current Estimate £000
New Forest National Park Authority	250	530	30	30

Notes:

- The rise in 2025/26 represents the potential development of affordable homes in Burley.
- The figures in the table do not include any potential additional capital grant from defra which may be made available for 2025/26 (and/or future years).

##### The Authority's Resources and the Investment Position

- 2.2 The use of reserves to finance capital expenditure will have an impact on investments unless resources are supplemented each year from other sources such as asset sales. The following table shows estimates of year end balances for each resource.

Estimated Year-End Resources	2024/25 Forecast £000	2025/26 Estimate £000	2026/27 Estimate £000	2027/28 Estimate £000
General Fund Balances	350	350	350	350
Earmarked Reserves	1,850	1,620	1,600	1,500
<b>Total as at 31 March</b>	<b>2,200</b>	<b>1,970</b>	<b>1,950</b>	<b>1,850</b>

### 3 Limits to Borrowing Activity

Although the Authority is not expected to borrow / have debt during the year, since 1 April 2024 any operating leases are required to be officially classed as debt/borrowing. For our Authority this is predominantly our Town Hall lease, which would now show as a matching asset and debt/liability on our Balance Sheet, calculated on the total remaining amount payable to the end of the lease (c£170,000).

#### The Authorised Limit

- 3.1 This is the limit beyond which borrowing is prohibited and needs to be set by the Authority for each financial year even though it is not anticipated that the Authority will need to borrow other than short term loans for cash flow purposes. It reflects the level of borrowing that, while not desired or sustainable, could be required in the short-term.

Authorised limit for external debt	2024/25 Estimate £m	2025/26 Estimate £m	2026/27 Estimate £m	2027/28 Estimate £m
<b>Total</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>

#### The Operational Boundary

- 3.2 This indicator is based on the probable external debt during the course of the year. It is not a limit, and the borrowing of the Authority could vary around this boundary for short times during the year. It is a warning indicator to help ensure that the Authorised Limit is not breached.

Operational boundary for external debt	2024/25 Estimate £m	2025/26 Estimate £m	2026/27 Estimate £m	2027/28 Estimate £m
<b>Total</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>0.5</b>

### 4. Affordability Indicators

- 4.1 All of the affordability indicators recommended by the Prudential Code are to assess the affordability of the Authority's capital programme.

#### Financing Costs

- 4.2 This section shows the cost of financing the Authority's capital programme. The following table shows the estimated financing costs for the period from 2024/25 to 2027/28.

Financing Costs	2024/25 Revised £000	2025/26 Estimate £000	2026/27 Estimate £000	2027/28 Estimate £000
Revenue Contribution to Fund Capital	0	30	30	30
Capital Contribution to Fund Capital	250	500	0	0

## Appendix 1c

### SECURITY, LIQUIDITY AND YIELD BENCHMARKING

#### 1. **Benchmarking and Monitoring Security, Liquidity and Yield in the Investment Service**

These benchmarks are targets and so may be breached from time to time. Any breach will be reported with supporting reasons in the Annual Treasury Report.

##### 1.1 **Yield**

These benchmarks are currently widely used to assess investment performance. Local measures of yield benchmarks are shown at paragraph 4.2.1 in the Treasury Management Strategy at Appendix 1a:

Security and liquidity benchmarks are already intrinsic to the approved treasury strategy through the counterparty selection criteria and some of the prudential indicators. Proposed benchmarks for the cash type investments are shown in the main body of Appendix 1a and these will form the basis of future reporting in this area.

##### 1.2 **Liquidity**

This is defined as “having adequate, though not excessive cash resources, borrowing arrangements, overdrafts or standby facilities to enable it at all times to have the level of funds available to it which are necessary for the achievement of its business objectives” (CIPFA Treasury Management Code of Practice).

##### 1.3 **Security of the investments**

Security is currently evidenced by the application of minimum credit quality criteria to investment counterparties, primarily through the use of credit ratings supplied by the three main credit rating agencies (Fitch, Moody's and Standard and Poors).

These benchmarks are embodied in the criteria for selecting cash investment counterparties and these will be monitored and reported in the Investment Annual Report.



**Annex 3**  
**Appendix 1d**

**PORTFOLIO OF HOLDINGS AND INVESTMENTS AT  
18 FEBRUARY 2025**

<b>Counterparty</b>	<b>Holding / Investment £</b>	<b>Interest Rate %</b>	<b>Investment Date</b>	<b>Maturity Date</b>
Debt Management Account Deposit Facility (Gov't)	2,500,000	4.58	28/01/25	28/04/25
Aberdeen Standard - Money Market Fund	500,000	4.87	Instant Access	Instant Access
Lloyds Savings Account	1,500,000	4.47	17/2/25	17/3/25
Lloyds Current Account	791,201	2.00	Instant Access	Instant Access
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,291,201</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>

**Annex 4**

**Medium Term Financial Plan and Forward Projection**

	<b>2024/25 Projected Outturn £000's</b>	<b>2025/26 Estimate £000's</b>	<b>2026/27 Estimate £000's</b>	<b>2027/28 Estimate £000's</b>	<b>2028/29 Estimate £000's</b>	<b>Indicative Forward Projection £000's</b>
<b><u>Income</u></b>						
Defra Grants	3,845	3,251	3,251	3,251	3,251	
Planning Fees & Grants	350	600	600	600	600	
Shared Service Contributions	234	208	223	228	233	
Income Generation	35	40	40	40	40	
Investment Interest	160	140	110	90	50	
Use of Carry Forwards	-27	28	17	85	44	
<b>TOTAL INCOME</b>	<b>4,597</b>	<b>4,267</b>	<b>4,241</b>	<b>4,294</b>	<b>4,218</b>	<b>3,680</b>
<b><u>Expenditure</u></b>						
<b>Employee Costs</b>	3,050	3,146	3,214	3,331	3,393	2,770
Emp Cost as % of Total Income	66%	74%	76%	78%	80%	
<b>Sustainable Communities Fund</b>	30	30	30	30	30	30
Planning	120	95	70	70	70	
Secretariat	46	47	48	49	50	
Member Services	68	69	71	72	73	
Core Running Costs	729	700	628	562	568	
Capital	344	0	0	0	0	
<b>Other subtotal</b>	<b>1,307</b>	<b>911</b>	<b>817</b>	<b>753</b>	<b>761</b>	<b>700</b>

<b>TOTAL EXPENDITURE</b>	<b>4,387</b>	<b>4,087</b>	<b>4,061</b>	<b>4,114</b>	<b>4,184</b>	<b>3,500</b>
<b>Annual Funds Available for Programme Work &amp; Leverage</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>180</b>
<b>Balanced Budget Y/N</b>						
	<b>Y</b>	<b>Y</b>	<b>Y</b>	<b>Y</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Y</b>
<b>Projected (Surplus) / Shortfall</b>						
	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>0</b>