Planning Development Control Committee - 17 October 2017 Report Item 4

Application No: 17/00681/FULL Full Application

Site: Marico House, Brook Hill, Bramshaw, SO43 7JB

- **Proposal:** Continued use of land as B1 office; single storey extension to existing office building
- **Applicant:** Mr J Riding, Marico Marine Ltd

Case Officer: Clare Ings

Parish: BRAMSHAW

1. REASON FOR COMMITTEE CONSIDERATION

Contrary to Parish Council view Previous Committee consideration.

2. DEVELOPMENT PLAN DESIGNATION

Conservation Area

3. PRINCIPAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN POLICIES

CP14 Business and Employment Development CP15 Existing Employment Sites DP1 General Development Principles DP6 Design Principles DP17 Extensions to Non Residential Buildings and Uses

4. SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING GUIDANCE

Not applicable

5. NATIONAL PLANNING POLICY FRAMEWORK

Sec 3 - Supporting a prosperous rural economy Sec 11 - Conserving and enhancing the natural environment Sec 12 - Conserving and enhancing the historic environment

6. MEMBER COMMENTS

None received

7. PARISH COUNCIL COMMENTS

Bramshaw Parish Council: Recommend permission for the following reasons:

- accepts arguments put forward by applicants
- no harm in scale and design
- no negative impact on character and appearance of the conservation area, and in the context of the proposed extension to the existing building
- no impact on adjoining occupiers benefit to Parish and Forest of an important commercial enterprise that is not part of the tourism industry.

8. CONSULTEES

No consultations required

9. **REPRESENTATIONS**

9.1 None received.

10. RELEVANT HISTORY

- 10.1 Continued use of land as B1 office; single storey extension to existing office building (17/00021) was refused on 21 March 2017
- 10.2 Extension; addition of link; external alterations (10/95642) granted permission on 23 November 2010
- 10.3 Extension; addition of link; external alterations (10/95033) granted permission on 16 June 2010
- 10.4 Refurbish and extend stable block to form office; parking; access (04/80757) granted permission on 19 July 2004

11. ASSESSMENT

- 11.1 The application site lies to the west of the B3079 between Brook and Bramshaw in an area of open countryside, and opposite the golf course. It comprises an office with ancillary storage (previously a barn and stables now linked). The building is single storey, brick built under a tiled roof, with extensive glazing. An area for parking lies to the front and side of the site. To the rear of the building is a portacabin sited on ground which has been levelled and surrounded with a low retaining grass bund. A post and rail fence separates the office use from the adjoining paddock which is in the same ownership, although it should be noted that the portacabin and level ground has already encroached into this paddock. The boundary with the B3079 is formed by a wooden fence with planting becoming established. The site lies within the Forest Central (North) Conservation Area character area F.
- 11.2 A previous application to extend the office building was refused, one of reasons being that it would significantly encroach into the paddock to the rear. This current proposal is still to replace the portacabin (which does not have planning permission) with a single storey extension to provide additional office space. It would

be re-orientated through 90 degrees to run parallel to the existing building, with the ridge to match the higher ridge of the existing building. Materials would match the existing building. As previously, the proposed floorspace would add a further 75m² to the existing 100m² of office floorspace. The building is occupied by Marico Marine Ltd, a successful marine specialist, high technology company (developing software to manage ship movement risk and vehicle tracking systems), and the additional floorspace is proposed for simulator/office accommodation; there would not be any increase in staff.

- 11.3 As previously, the key considerations are the principle of the development and compliance with policy, the scale and design of the proposal, and its impact on the wider street scene, character and appearance of the conservation area and neighbouring properties. With regard to the impact on the nearest neighbour, it is not considered that there would be any overlooking given the distances involved (some 50m from the side elevation of Burnside Farm).
- 11.4 The policies relevant to the principle of the proposal are CP14 and DP17, both of which support the limited extension of small businesses outside the defined villages where they would help the well-being of the local community and would not materially change the level of activity on the site. In addition, policy DP17 requires that any development should be contained within the existing site boundary.
- 11.5 The size of the extension, which has not been reduced from the previous application, would still be considered large when compared with the existing building and would represent an almost 70% increase in floorspace. Whilst there is no numeric restriction set out in policy DP17, this increase is not considered to be sufficiently small scale to meet the criteria of the policy. This is particularly so as the immediate surroundings are very rural, with only sporadic development, and a significant extension on an existing modest building would have a noticeable and adverse impact. There had been pre-application discussions in which it was stated that a smaller extension, more akin to the size of the portacabin (about 30m²), would be appropriate.
- 11.6 The proposed extension has been turned through 90 degrees, and now would only extend as far as the existing portacabin and regraded land, and whilst there would still be a marginal incursion into the paddock land to the rear from previous applications, this has been significantly reduced and is considered would not harm the overall appearance of the site or wider area. However, the extension itself would still add to the overall visual bulk of the building, particularly in views from the south, and would result in a large building, out of character in this rural part of the New Forest.

- 11.7 Character area F of the Forest Central North Conservation Area is defined as having a dispersed linear manner along the main road with buildings generally fronting the road behind the wide verges. The extension and use of the land into the adjoining paddock would be seen in views from the B3079, especially when travelling north, and would be seen as a significant incursion into the paddock land to the rear, creating development in depth. As such this would have a detrimental impact on the wider rural landscape, and the character and appearance of the conservation area.
- 11.8 Given the nature of the existing business (marine specialist), its presence within the National Park is not essential as it would not be seen to contribute to the land-based economy, and would not form part of any farm diversification scheme. For this reason, it would also be contrary to policy CP17.
- 11.9 Due to the scale of the development, and its further encroachment into the paddock, both of which would have an adverse impact on the wider landscape and the character and appearance of the conservation area, the application is recommended for refusal.

12. **RECOMMENDATION**

Refuse

Reason(s)

1 The proposed development, by virtue of its scale and massing, would have a detrimental visual impact in the wider landscape and on the character and appearance of the conservation area. The development would therefore be contrary to policies DP1, DP17 and CP14 of the New Forest National Park Core Strategy and development Management Policies DPD (2010).

